

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,828	08/06/2003	Tokunori Kato	116781	6764	
25944 7590 03/22/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			EXA	EXAMINER	
			SMITH, CREIGHTON H		
ALEXANDRÍA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2614		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 E	DAYS	03/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/634,828	KATO, TOKUNO	KATO, TOKUNORI			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Creighton H. Smith	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU .136(a). In no event, however, may I will apply and will expire SIX (6) No te, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of aBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
· · · · ·		—· is action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicati	ion					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · ·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•			
Applicati	on Papers						
97	The specification is objected to by the Examin	Jer	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06.30.05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/634,828

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Group I, claims 1-5, directed to an Internet phone system having an Internet terminal with 3 interfaces, and a switching system that switches the 2nd and 3rd interface according to whether the PSTN or Internet is used; Group II, claims 5-13, directed to a telephone device having (a) audio signal input/output terminal connected to an Internet terminal, (b) a control signal input/output terminal connected to an Internet terminal, (c)command input system, (d) a signal switching system; group III, claim 18, directed towards a phone system having (a) 1st & 2nd audio signal input/output terminal, (b) 1st & 2nd control signal input/output terminal; Group IV, claim 19, directed towards a method of controlling a telephone device having a switching and controlling step; Group V, claim 20, directed towards a method of controlling an Internet terminal device having only a controlling step; Group VI, claims 21-27, directed towards a phone system having a telephone system and a Internet terminal device, with both phone/devices having 1st & 2nd wireless interfaces, and a controlling system; Group VII, claims 28-37, directed towards a plain phone terminal with no Internet claimed; Group VIII, claim 38, directed to an Internet phone terminal; and Group IX, claim 40, directed towards a recording medium. The species are independent or distinct because each of the 9 separate groups requires different elements than the other groups of claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,828

Art Unit: 2614

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,828

Art Unit: 2614

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton H.

Smith at telephone number 571/272-7546.

15 MAR '07

Creighton H Smith Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614